

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandria, Vriginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/886,946	06/21/2001	Gabriel Martinez Martin	U 013521-1	4882	
7590 10/10/2003			EXAMINER		
Clifford J. Mass			CHERVINSKY, BORIS LEO		
Ladas & Parry 26 West 61 Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
New York, NY 10023			2835		

DATE MAILED: 10/10/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del> .	
		09/886,946	MARTINEZ MARTIN	MARTINEZ MARTIN, GABRIEL	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Boris L. Chervinsky	2835		
P riod fo	Th MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence addr	ess	
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili- ed patent term adjustment. Sec 37 CFR 1.704(b)		reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comr. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication	
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	September 2003 .			
2a)⊠		his action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow		atters, prosecution as to the i	merits is	
Disposit	closed in accordance with the practice unde ion of Claims	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
	Claim(s) 3 and 4 is/are pending in the applic	ation.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) 3 and 4 is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.			
Applicati	on Papers				
	The specification is objected to by the Examin				
10)[_]	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	•			
44)[7]	Applicant may not request that any objection to t			4b - 5	
	The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>11 S</u> If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		pproved b) disapproved by	tne Examine	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E				
	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	examinor.			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	an priority under 35 U.S.C.	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)		
•	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	griphicity and or or ore.	3 7 10(4) (4) 5/ (1).		
,.	1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.			
	Certified copies of the priority document		Application No		
	Copies of the certified copies of the privapplication from the International B	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		age	
	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	•		-1'- ('- X	
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes )	•	- ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '	pplication).	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Attachmen	_		••		
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Informal Patent Application (PTO-		

Application/Control Number: 09/886,946

Art Unit: 2835

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the amendment must be provided in a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, the references mentioned in the amendment have not been considered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling as of the description of the general shape of the device, does not reasonably provide enablement for energy regenerating feature. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The specification does not disclose the "the energy regenerating" feature, does not describe what kind of energy, how that energy is generated, how it is transmitted to the electronic equipment associated with the device and means that are affected by alleged "energy regeneration".

Application/Control Number: 09/886,946

Art Unit: 2835

3. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a credible asserted utility or a well-established utility.

The disclosure does not substantiate "the energy regeneration" feature of the device, does not provide scientific basis for the claims.

The claimed invention is not supported by either a credible asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons that there is not conclusive evidence of the improving quality of performance of the audio and video equipment as claimed.

Claims 3 and 4 have not been considered on the merits because of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 101 and under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. The prior art listed in US PTO 892 Form, which may be related to the subject matter, disclose radiating energy devices having conical shape and including spiral or helical elements.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/886,946

Art Unit: 2835

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Boris L. Chervinsky whose telephone number is 703-308-5429. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren E. Schuberg can be reached on 703-308-4815. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-5115.

BORIS CHERVINSKY PRIMARY EXAMINER Lan'S L. (Lew. '. w. 2

Page 4